

Project 02-23

Development of an Update to the 1977 AASHTO Redbook

User Benefit Analysis for Highways

September 2002

ECONorthwest
Portland, Oregon

and

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.
Portland, Oregon

Parsons, Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas, Inc.
Portland, Oregon

Prepared for:

The National Academies
National Academy of Sciences
National Cooperative Highway Research Program

PREFACE

This document is intended to help state and local transportation planning authorities evaluate the user benefits of highway improvements. This document is a draft of the update to the 1977 *Redbook* which analyzed both highway and transit improvements. It is intended to bring up to date both the theoretical and empirical basis of highway improvement evaluations.

The preparation of this draft update was done on behalf of the National Academy of Sciences, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) under contract number H-2-23. This contract is supported by an agreement between NCHRP and the Federal Highway Administration, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and the Academy. The prime contractor for the research is ECONorthwest. ECONorthwest prepared this draft with the assistance of subcontractors Kittelson & Associates, Inc., and Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas, Inc.

The following people assisted in the preparation or review of this draft.

NCHRP

Dianne Schwager, Senior Program Officer

ECONorthwest

Dr. Randall Pozdena
Dr. Stephen Grover
Carl Batten
Dr. David Lindahl
Terry Moore
Brett Sheckler
John Tapogna
Chris Mefford

Kittelson & Associates

Mark Vandehay
Judith Gray
Bruce Robinson

Parsons Brinckerhoff

Sam Seskin
John Boroski

NCHRP Review Panel

Patrick DeCorla-Souza, Federal Highway Administration
Norman S. Foster, Minnesota Department of Finance
Kevin Freeman, TRB
James Gillespie, Virginia Transportation Research Council
Thomas Keane, Federal Highway Administration
Jay Klagge, University of Phoenix
Ysela Llorca, Florida Department of Transportation
David Lutz, Oregon Department of Transportation
Tom Stephens, Nevada Department of Transportation
Sandra Straehl, Montana Department of Transportation
Jon Williams, TRB
Ron Williams, Arizona Department of Transportation

Special Acknowledgements

This document has benefited significantly from detailed reviews and case study applications that were used to test and refine early versions of this manual. The results of these reviews and case studies were incorporated into the final version of this guidebook.

In particular, we wish to thank David Bushnell at the Washington Department of Transportation for participating in the case study process and testing the guidebook methods on several different highway improvement projects. Staff at Parsons Brinckerhoff and Kittelson and Associates also completed test applications of the guidebook by using the calculation methods to estimate benefits using data from completed projects in Miami, Sacramento, and Portland.

We also would like to thank Chris Monsere at the Oregon Department of Transportation for his review and helpful comments on the safety portion of this manual. John McGill from Synectics also completed a thorough review of the manual and provided many useful comments and suggestions.

Table of Contents

<i>PREFACE</i>	i
Special Acknowledgements	ii
CHAPTER 1. OVERVIEW	1-1
PREFACE: WHY USE THIS MANUAL?.....	1-1
General Purpose.....	1-1
Complicating Issues.....	1-2
INTRODUCTION	1-5
THE WORKFLOW STRUCTURE OF THIS MANUAL.....	1-8
Highway, Project and Improvement Types.....	1-8
Project Management Options.....	1-11
Economic Analysis.....	1-11
A GUIDE TO THE CHAPTERS OF THE MANUAL	1-12
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	1-14
CHAPTER 2. CONCEPTS AND BASIC METHODOLOGIES	2-1
BASIC CONCEPTS	2-1
The Economic Basis of Highway User Benefit Analysis.....	2-1
A <i>QUICKGUIDE</i> TO USER BENEFIT ANALYSIS	2-2
The Basic Steps of the Process	2-2
The Base Case vs. the Project Alternative.....	2-6
Defining the Base Case and the Project Alternative	2-6
Benefits and Costs.....	2-8
Project Performance Over Time: The Concept of Present Value and the Discount Rate	2-9
BASIC METHODOLOGIES	2-11
User Benefit Measurement	2-11
Project Cost Measurement.....	2-25
Performing benefit-cost analysis and project selection	2-27
CHAPTER 3. EVALUATING OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS	3-1
ADDITIONAL LANES	3-1
The Basic Elements of Additional Lane Analysis	3-1
Calculating User Benefits of Additional Lanes	3-11
Where to Go from Here.....	3-13

NEW HIGHWAY	3-14
The Basic Elements of New Highway Analysis	3-14
The Special Case of Wilderness Roads	3-15
TRAFFIC CONTROL	3-16
Basic Elements of Traffic Control	3-16
Incorporating Traffic Control Delay in User Benefit Analysis	3-23
SIGNAL SYSTEMS	3-26
Basic Elements of Signal Systems	3-26
INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS	3-29
General Categories of ITS Improvements	3-29
Calculating the Value of Time Savings	3-38
Where to Next?	3-39
PRICING AND REGULATORY POLICIES	3-40
The basic elements of evaluating pricing policies	3-40
The basic elements of evaluating regulatory policies	3-42
Where to Next?	3-48
CHAPTER 4. EVALUATING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS.....	4-1
SOURCES OF INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EFFECTS OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	4-1
Geometric Improvements	4-1
Lane Improvements	4-3
Access Management	4-6
Roadside Improvements	4-13
Incorporating the Effects of Safety Improvements in User Benefit Analysis	4-15
CHAPTER 5. USER BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULES.....	5-1
INTRODUCTION	5-1
VALUE OF TIME MODULE	5-1
Application: Value of Time Calculation	5-6
OPERATING AND OWNERSHIP COSTS MODULE	5-9
Application: Operating Cost Calculation	5-20
ACCIDENT COSTS MODULE	5-23
Accident Frequency	5-23

Modeling Changes in Accidents.....	5-24
Accident Unit Costs.....	5-42
Combining Accident Frequency and Accident Cost Information	5-45
Application: Accident Cost Calculation.....	5-46
PROJECT MANAGEMENT MODULE.....	5-50
The Basic Elements of Project Management.....	5-50
Effect of Project Management on Construction Costs.....	5-54
Effect of Project Management on User Costs	5-54
Calculating Costs and Benefits of Project Management Options.....	5-58
Application: Project Management Cost Calculations.....	5-60
Where to Next?	5-61
CHAPTER 6. BENEFIT-COST CALCULATIONS	6-1
DRAWING TOGETHER THE CALCULATION ELEMENTS.....	6-1
Improvement Formats.....	6-2
Traffic Formats.....	6-2
EXTRAPOLATING AND AGGREGATING USER BENEFIT CALCULATIONS.....	6-3
General Guidance for Extrapolation and Interpolation.....	6-3
Formulaic Extrapolation and Interpolation Approaches.....	6-4
SETTING UP THE BENEFIT-COST FRAMEWORK.....	6-31
The Project Horizon	6-31
Basic Present Value Arithmetic and the Selection of the Discount Rate..	6-35
Assembling Project Cost Data	6-41
PRESENT VALUE CALCULATIONS AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS.....	6-57
Assembling Module Information.....	6-57
IDENTIFYING FEASIBLE PROJECTS.....	6-60
The role of budget constraints.....	6-60
Performing Sensitivity Analysis.....	6-62
APPLICATION: EXPANSION AND EXTRAPOLATION OF TIME-SAVINGS BENEFITS.....	6-64
CHAPTER 7. SURVEY OF AVAILABLE SOFTWARE TOOLS	7-1
OVERVIEW.....	7-1
TOOLS FOR PROJECT-LEVEL EVALUATION	7-1

MicroBencost	7-2
HEEM III.....	7-2
WSDOT Benefit/Cost Software	7-2
ROADSIDE	7-3
TOOLS FOR CORRIDOR- OR NETWORK-LEVEL EVALUATION.....	7-4
SPASM	7-4
STEAM.....	7-5
HDM4.....	7-6
StratBENCOST	7-7
Net BC	7-7
IDAS	7-7
SUMMARY	7-8
DOT SURVEY OF SOFTWARE TOOLS	7-10
CHAPTER 8. REFERENCES.....	8-1

FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Relationship of User Benefit Analysis with Other Analysis Areas.....	1-7
Figure 1-2: The Workflow Path from Project to Evaluation Parameters.....	1-10
Figure 1-3: The Workflow Path from Evaluation Parameters to Economic Feasibility Measurement.....	1-13
Figure 2-1: Stylized Calculation of Consumer Surplus	2-15
Figure 2-2: Stylized Calculation of Benefits with Shift in Demand.....	2-17
Figure 2-3: Stylized Representation of the User Cost Linkages	2-25
Figure 3-1: The Effects of Lane Additions on Speed on Urban Freeways and Expressways for Various Increases in Capacity (speeds in miles per hour)	3-6
Figure 3-2: The Effects of Lane Additions on Speed on Urban Collectors and Arterials for Various Increases in Capacity (speeds in miles per hour)	3-7
Figure 3-3: The Effects of Lane Additions on Speed on Rural Freeways and Expressways for Various Increases in Capacity (speeds in miles per hour)	3-8
Figure 3-4: The Effects of Lane Additions on Speed on Rural Collectors and Arterials for Various Increases in Capacity (speeds in miles per hour).....	3-9
Figure 3-5: Stylized Transportation Analysis Zone Overlay	3-15
Figure 3-6: Intersection Control Delay for Minor Movements, by Entering Flow and Intersection Capacity (below saturation; $T=0.25$)	3-18
Figure 3-7: Intersection Control Delay for Minor Movements, by Entering Flow and Intersection Capacity (above saturation; $T=0.40$).....	3-19
Figure 3-8: The Effect of Metering on Ramp Users' Travel Time, by ramp volume and location of the metering facility.....	3-23
Figure 3-9: The Effect of Tolls on Traffic Volume, User Benefits and Toll Revenue..	3-41
Figure 5-1: Fuel Cost (cents per mile) by Speed – Automobiles	5-13
Figure 5-2: Fuel Costs (cents per mile) by Speed – Heavy Trucks	5-13
Figure 5-3: Annual Amortized Cost, by Interest Rate and Life (per \$1000 of capital value).....	5-16
Figure 5-4: Inventory Costs per Vehicle Mile, by Speed and Cargo Value (at 10% interest rate)	5-18
Figure 5-5: Accidents and Volume-Capacity Relationship for Urban Freeways.....	5-27
Figure 6-1: Diurnal Pattern of V/C Ratios and Relative Travel Time Savings (low exponent BPR function)	6-7
Figure 6-2: Diurnal Pattern of V/C Ratios and Relative Travel Time Savings (high exponent BPR function)	6-7
Figure 6-3: Peak Hour Share of Total Daily Volume (250 highway sample).....	6-14

Figure 6-4: Peak Traffic Shares, Primary vs. Secondary Direction (for 250 highway sample)	6-14
Figure 6-5: The Relationship Between Peak Hour and Daily Benefits (using $b=10$) ..	6-15
Figure 6-6: The Benefit Expansion Factor for Capacity Enhancements, for Various BPR Exponents (b) and Various Daily Peak Traffic Factors.	6-16
Figure 6-7: Peaking Factors Tend to Decline with ADT	6-18
Figure 6-8: Examples of Seasonal Patterns for Different Road Locations	6-22
Figure 6-9: Average Daily Traffic in Peak Month as Share of Annual Daily Traffic (from 122 Oregon facility count data)	6-23
Figure 6-10: Relationship Between Seasonal Peaking Factor and Seasonal Expansion Factor ($b=10$ in BPR function)	6-23
Figure 6-11: Expansion Factor from Peak Month to Annual Benefits (For Various BPR Exponents (b) and Seasonal Peaking Factors).....	6-25
Figure 6-12: User Travel Time Savings, by Project Year and Initial V/C Ratio	6-28
Figure 6-13: Timeline of Highway Project Planning and Implementation, Forward Evaluation Date.....	6-32
Figure 6-14: Timeline of Highway Project Planning and Implementation, Contemporaneous Evaluation Date.....	6-33
Figure 6-15: Annual Rates of Change of the ENR Construction Index.....	6-43
Figure 6-16: Operating Costs for Urban Highways (in 1997 cents per vehicle-mile)..	6-52
Figure 6-17: Operating Costs for Urban Highways (in 1997 cents per vehicle-mile), cont.	6-53
Figure 6-18: Operating Costs for Rural Highways (in 1997 cents per vehicle-mile)....	6-54
Figure 6-19: Operating Costs for Rural Highways (in 1997 cents per vehicle-mile), cont.	6-55
Figure 6-20: Stylized Representation of User Benefit Aggregation and Extrapolation	6-58
Figure 6-21: Stylized Representation of Present Value Calculations.....	6-59

TABLES

Table 2-1: Stylized Calculation of User Benefits resulting from a Reduction in Trip Cost	2-14
Table 2-2: Hypothetical Project Costs, for Selected Years and Activities	2-27
Table 2-3: Passenger Car Equivalents at Typical Operating Weights by Vehicle Configuration and Facility Class (Batelle, 1998)	2-29
Table 3-1: Worksheets in HCM 2000 for Calculating Speed on Roadway Segments of Various Types	3-2
Table 3-2: Typical BPR Function Parameters in Volume-Delay Analysis.....	3-5

Table 3-3: Sources for Detailed Traffic Control Delay Measurements.....	3-20
Table 3-4: Capacity and Freeflow Speeds of Typical Freeway Ramps.....	3-22
Table 4-1: Worksheets in the <i>HCM 2000</i> for Calculating Speed or Delay on Roadway Segments or Intersections of Various Types	4-3
Table 4-2: Relevant Highway Types, Lane Improvement Categories, and Appropriate Sections of the <i>HCM 2000</i>	4-4
Table 4-3: <i>HCM 2000</i> Worksheets by improvement and highway type.....	4-5
Table 4-4: Worksheets in <i>HCM 2000</i> for Calculating Speed on Roadway Segments of Various Types	4-8
Table 4-5: Access Management Techniques Covered in <i>NCHRP Report 420</i>	4-9
Table 4-6: Annual delay (hours) to major street left-turn and through vehicles.....	4-10
Table 4-7: Threshold Traffic Volumes for Installing Non-traversable medians, by Road Type and Land Use	4-11
Table 4-8: Simplified Capacity Calculations for Left Turn Lanes	4-12
Table 4-9: Impedance Factor for Simplified Left-Turn Lane Capacity Calculations....	4-13
Table 4-10: Chapter References for Roadside Design Improvement Types.....	4-14
Table 4-11: Worksheets in HCM 2000 for Calculating Speed on Roadway Segments of Various Types	4-15
Table 5-1: Guidelines for Assigning Values of Time in Highway Project Analysis.....	5-3
Table 5-2: Average Wages and Total Compensation, by Industry (2000 \$).....	5-4
Table 5-3: Hours of Delay per Heavy Vehicle Crash by Roadway Class, Location, and Severity	5-5
Table 5-4: Automobile Operating and Ownership Costs (cents), 2000.....	5-10
Table 5-5: Fuel Consumption for Autos and Trucks, by Average Operating Speed....	5-12
Table 5-6: Fuel Consumption (gallons) per minute of Delay by Vehicle Type.....	5-14
Table 5-7. Motor Vehicle Accident Involvement and Costs in 2000.....	5-24
Table 5-8: Sources for Information on Accident Prediction Tools	5-26
Table 5-9: Values for Overdispersion parameter in the Empirical Bayes Procedure....	5-34
Table 5-10: Recommended Negative Binomial Coefficient Values for Urban Three-leg Stop-Controlled Intersections (For Use in Equation 5-22).....	5-36
Table 5-11: Recommended Lognormal Coefficient Values for Urban 4-leg intersections – Stop-Controlled and Signalized (For Use in Equation 5-22)	5-37
Table 5-12: Accident per million VMT by Median Type – Urban and Suburban Areas	5-41
Table 5-13: Accidents per Million VMT by Median Type – Rural Areas.....	5-41

Table 5-14: Accident Rates Based on an Average of Seven Computer Models	5-42
Table 5-15: Summary of Accident Reductions Due to Left-turn Treatments	5-42
Table 5-16: Accident Cost by Abbreviated Injury Scale (2000 \$)	5-43
Table 5-17: Insurance Reimbursement Calculation (year 2000 dollars and rates).....	5-45
Table 5-18: Worksheets in HCM 2000 for Calculating Speed on Roadway Segments of Various Types	5-55
Table 5-19: Sample Comparison of Two Contracting Methods	5-61
Table 6-1: Recommended Uses of Extrapolation Procedures	6-4
Table 6-2: Summary of Expansion Factors for Measuring Annual Benefits	6-26
Table 6-3: HERS Estimates of Construction Costs, per Lane Mile (updated to 2000 dollars).....	6-44
Table 6-4: HERS Estimates of Unit Construction Costs, per Element (urban facilities, updated to 2000 dollars)	6-45
Table 6-5: HERS Estimates of Unit Construction Costs, per Element (rural facilities, updated to 2000 dollars)	6-46
Table 6-6: HERS State Adjustment Factors	6-47
Table 7-1: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for MicroBencost	7-2
Table 7-2: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for WSDOT Benefit-cost Software.	7-3
Table 7-3: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for ROADSIDE.....	7-4
Table 7-4: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for SPASM.....	7-5
Table 7-5: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for STEAM	7-6
Table 7-6: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for HDM4	7-6
Table 7-7: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for StratBENCOST	7-7
Table 7-8: Summary of User Benefit Calculations for IDAS	7-8
Table 7-9: Comparison of Available Benefit-Cost Software	7-9
Table 7-10: Summary of State DOT Software Survey Results	7-10

Chapter 1. Overview

PREFACE: WHY USE THIS MANUAL?

Transportation planners and policy makers are charged with the responsibility of identifying and selecting the projects that deserve implementation. Often, there are competing project designs to serve the same purpose. The project-selection process is complicated further in most cases by limited budgets and a host of candidate projects that might benefit the community. In these situations, analytic tools are needed to evaluate the relative merits of each candidate project and ultimately provide a means for allocating resources to that set of projects that will maximize the total benefits. The purpose of this manual is to assist in this process by providing the tools necessary to evaluate the costs and benefits associated with transportation improvement projects.

General Purpose

While there are many different types of benefits associated with transportation improvements, this manual focuses on *user benefits*, or benefits that are enjoyed by travelers that are directly affected by a transportation improvement. User benefits are determined by travel costs in three distinct areas: travel time costs, operating costs, and accident costs. Taken together, the total of these costs is essentially the price that travelers must pay to travel. When a comparison is made between the costs of traveling and the number of trips taken at each price level, a relationship is determined between the cost of travel and the demand for trips. When all users are aggregated together, the difference between the travel ‘price’ that travelers are required to pay and what they would have been willing to pay is the user benefit associated with trip. Any reduction in travel costs (trip price), then, will result in a benefit to the traveler. For example, with a cost reduction, users who were already making the trip receive the benefit of making the same trip at a lower cost.

The focus on user benefits is critical, because most of the economic benefits of transportation projects come from the reduction in user costs. When trips in a particular corridor are perceived as costly, perhaps due to long travel times or high accident rates, travelers sacrifice taking some trips in that corridor, and the economic activity associated with those trips is lost. Reducing user costs makes the perceived cost of travel cheaper, and facilitates trip making and the associated economic activities. By balancing these user benefits against project costs, we can determine which projects will provide the optimal level of net benefits to society.

Obviously a project will also impact people other than direct users of the facility. These effects are referred to as *indirect benefits* or *non-user benefits*. Examples of indirect benefits include environmental impacts, effects on urban growth, economic impacts, and the distribution of costs and benefits associated with the project. While these issues are important and should be considered in the transportation decision framework, they are outside the scope of this manual.

The framework for estimating user benefits is relatively straightforward. User benefit estimation requires that each project being evaluated be compared against some alternative outcome. The alternative outcome could be a ‘Base Case’ or ‘No-Build

Scenario' that maintains current facility conditions into the future. The alternative scenario could also be a different improvement project. In either case, to conduct the user benefit analysis, benefit levels are estimated for two different scenarios. The difference in user costs (that is, the combined effect on user benefits due to changes in travel costs, operating costs, and accident costs) is the impact to the users associated with the project. Since an improvement should result in a *reduction* in these costs, the difference in these cost levels is used to determine the total user benefit of the project.

Complicating Issues

The preceding discussion provides the simplest overview of determining the user benefits associated with transportation projects. To summarize, this manual provides the tools needed to estimate benefits from changes in travel time costs, operating costs, and accident costs – the factors that directly affect travelers' transportation choices.

Naturally, applying these principles to specific project applications is a much more complicated endeavor in the real world. Complicating issues that need to be addressed include:

- How do you measure the benefits of something that does not yet exist, especially when it interacts in a complicated way with other products or services?
- What do you do if some benefits or costs are not susceptible, at all, to measurements? What if the saving or loss of human life potentially is involved?
- What if the benefits and/or costs play out over a period of time? How should these delays be incorporated in the analysis?
- What if there is uncertainty about the measurements?
- What if many projects have positive net benefits, but budgets are limited? Which projects should be selected for implementation?
- How do you compare projects that have different types of benefits? For example, how do you compare the benefits of one project that improves travel time with another project that reduces accidents?

Economists have developed at least partial answer to each of the questions. Much of the challenge in applying benefit-cost analysis in the transportation arena, however, stems from the fact that providing good answers almost always requires specialized information and analysis techniques. This manual provides the analytical tools to help address these questions and allows for the estimation of user benefits for a range of different project types and conditions.

Specific complicating analysis factors are described briefly below. Methods for adapting the user benefit estimation techniques to address these issues are provided in subsequent chapters of this manual.

Different Project Objectives. Some projects, such as the addition of lanes to urban or rural highways, have the objective of improving traffic flows. Projects such as installing stop signs or traffic signals at rural intersections have the goal of improving safety and saving lives. Still other projects are maintenance projects, whose purpose is to avoid degradation of the facility and avoid the increases later in vehicle operating costs, traveler

delay or repair or remediation costs. Although the objectives and types of benefits of these projects are different, they can all be cast in benefit-cost terms and evaluated using the procedures in this manual.

Different Road Types. The same improvement type will have different effects on user benefits depending on the type of road being evaluated. A project that widens a lane, for example, is designed to improve travel times and will have a predictable effect on travel behavior. The magnitude of this effect, however, will depend on a variety of different factors, including whether or not the lane widening occurs on a freeway or an urban street. This manual provides guidance on how to measure the impact of specific improvement types across different street and highway types.

Units of Measure. The elements of user benefits are quantified using different units of measurement, and must be converted to a common, monetary unit of measurement so that they can be compared with project costs. Travel time, for example, is measured in minutes while operating costs are measured in dollars and accident costs might be reported as the number accidents. This manual provides the methods for converting each of these benefit components into a common dollar value so they can be aggregated across years, users, and vehicle classes. This conversion also allows for user benefit comparisons across different projects, as the benefits estimated for each project are expressed in common dollar values.

Data Needs. The calculation of user benefits requires a significant amount of information on costs that is specific to the facility and project being evaluated. That is, information is needed for each of the user cost components: travel times, operating costs, and accident costs. In addition, cost data are needed for both the Base Case scenario and for the scenario where the project is built. Total costs in each of these categories are often estimated as a function of traffic volumes estimated over the life of a project for each of the project scenarios. This manual provides information on where these data can be found and the level of detail needed to estimate user benefits.

Incomplete Data. In practical applications, an analyst is often faced with the problem of missing or incomplete data. For example, the total user benefits associated with a project will be determined in part by the amount of use the facility gets with and without the project being built. This is often determined using traffic volume estimates obtained from a traffic demand model. In some cases, only limited information is available, such as an average annual volume or traffic volumes for a single peak traffic hour or a peak traffic day. This manual shows how this information can be extrapolated to estimate annual traffic volumes, taking into account daily and seasonal fluctuations in travel volumes.

Discounting Costs and Benefits Over Time. Methods for expressing all user benefits in a single dollar value that can be compared across different project types are also provided in this manual. The pattern of costs and benefits over a project's life varies from one project to another, even for alternative projects that are designed to perform the same purpose. For example, improvements projects generally have large capital or investment costs that occur at the start of the project. Operating and maintenance costs are incurred after the construction phase and continue throughout the life of the project. Similarly, benefits of the project accrue to users each year and may increase annually with growth

in population and travel demand. This manual shows how these annual variations in multi-year projects can be accommodated so that fair comparisons can be made across projects with different timing of costs and benefits. The procedure is known as the calculation of *present discounted value* of costs and benefits. Discounting procedures allow all project values to be converted to a single dollar value in present value terms, which allows for comparisons across projects.

As this suggests, the estimated user benefits of a project will depend on the methods used to discount future costs and benefits. This manual provides a detailed discussion of the appropriate techniques and discount rates to use for transportation projects for converting benefits to present value terms.

Risk and Uncertainty. Even with the best data, there will be some uncertainty in the estimates of user benefits. Analysis inputs such as traffic volumes, for example, need to be estimated for each year over the life of the project and even the best estimates will still be subject to error. This manual provides tools for adjusting benefit estimates for risk and uncertainty related to future outcomes.

The remainder of this manual expands on this discussion of user benefit analysis. The first part of the manual expands the discussion of the basic economic concepts underlying the user benefit calculations. Following this is a discussion of how the user benefit analysis should be applied to specific improvement types. Additional detail is provided in the following sections and worksheets accompanying this manual regarding the calculation of travel time costs, operating costs, and accident costs.

INTRODUCTION

This manual presents a comprehensive methodology for evaluating the user benefits from highway improvements. Highway improvements generate user benefits if they reduce the users' travel time, operating costs or the user cost of accidents. These user benefits then can be compared with the cost of developing and operating the highway improvement to determine the basic economic feasibility of the proposed improvement.

In this manual, the broad types of highway improvements considered include:

- Development of new roads.
- Operational improvements to existing roads.
- Safety improvements to existing roadways.
- Highway project-management activities.

The manual updates, extends and replaces the 1977 AASHTO report, *User Benefit Analysis for Highway and Bus Transit Improvements*, known colloquially as the *Redbook*. Unlike the earlier *Redbook*, however, this manual does not provide a methodology for evaluating user benefits from transit improvements. This methodology is now provided in the TCRP manual, *Estimating the Benefits and Costs of Public Transit Projects: A guidebook for Practitioners*. Where appropriate, however, this manual does identify those portions of the methodology that should be coordinated with transit user benefit analysis.

There is a second, important difference between this manual and its previous edition. The 1977 *Redbook* was written in two parts. One part presented research findings concerning concepts and parameters relevant to user benefit analysis. The second part presented the manual of procedures for implementing user benefit analysis. In contrast, the current manual subsumes the research discussion into the manual itself. The basic methodology is laid out in an initial part of the manual. However, the theoretical and empirical motivation for the other elements of the manual are presented in context, so that the reader can make judgments about the proper procedures and parameters as the need to do so arises.

The emphasis in the manual on *user* benefits is strictly enforced. The methodology in the manual does not include procedures for evaluating any indirect or induced economic effects of the highway improvement. Hence, the reader will be directed elsewhere for evaluation of such impacts as:

- Economic development or employment impacts.
- Environmental impacts.
- Cost impacts on institutions that provide ancillary services to highways, such as the court system, hospitals, public safety agencies, surface water management agencies, etc.


Impacts in each of these areas are deserving of consideration when evaluating highway improvements, but involve complex and specific conditions that do not lend themselves to being characterized usefully in a manual. In addition, in some cases the science for

accounting for these impacts is only now developing or contested, making it difficult for definitive procedures to be offered in a manual.

The omission of these other impacts from the manual does not handicap seriously benefit-cost analysis of highway improvements in the United States, or other, highly-developed economies. Although non-user impacts may affect the desirability of proceeding with a given project, such factors less often influence the *ranking* of similar, alternative projects. In highly-developed areas, the user benefit evaluation typically dominates these other impacts because of the high values of time and human life involved. In addition, the environmental and public finance impacts of a roadway project are often constrained by other regulations or mitigation policies. User benefit analysis even by itself, therefore, is very helpful in the development of state and local transportation improvement plans which, once developed, can then be subject to more extended or qualitative considerations. Finally, the user benefit analysis provides a framework on which these other, more contentious considerations can be hung. The technical information developed in the course of evaluating user benefits is helpful input to other investigations.

In summary, the methodology presented in this manual addresses a substantial portion—but not all—of the economic evaluation issues that are encountered in evaluating highway projects. Figure 1-1 illustrates the relationship between the user benefit analysis emphasized in the manual and the other areas of investigation that may be pursued. The figure also hints at the kind of technical information or parameters from the user benefit analysis that can be used to assist the ancillary analyses. Items below the dashed line in Figure 1-1 are outside the scope of this manual.

Figure 1-1: Relationship of User Benefit Analysis with Other Analysis Areas



THE WORKFLOW STRUCTURE OF THIS MANUAL

This manual has been written with a *workflow* orientation. That is, it is arranged so that the information needed to evaluate a project is developed in an orderly and natural manner. This is achieved by first organizing the analysis by *highway type*, *project type* and *improvement type*. Then, for each improvement type, there are *analysis modules* that lead the reader through procedures specific to the characteristics of the improvement. The aim is to generate information in a modular form that can easily be joined with other information later in the manual. Analysis of *project management options* and the final, *economic analysis* step are the two, overarching analyses that bring this modular information together.

This approach requires that the reader have clearly defined the *project* to be analyzed. The manual requires, even for sketch analysis, that the features of the project be carefully defined. The nature of the improvement is what influences the user benefit analysis. The manual is not designed to specify ideal projects, although iterative use of it can help refine and improve a project's specifications.

The reader also is expected to know the *base case* against which the project's virtues are being measured. As a practical matter, it is impossible to measure the total user benefits associated with something as complex as an entire highway system. It is possible, however, to measure accurately the impact of a change to that system, whether it be as significant a change as a brand-new freeway, or as modest a change as an improvement in an intersection's signalization timing.

Once the reader brings the project definition to the effort, the manual guides the reader through various categorization and analysis activities.

Highway, Project and Improvement Types

The manual uses a taxonomy of highway, project and improvement types to guide the reader to those analytical modules that are relevant for a particular project.

A *highway type* is the broad, functional class of road to which the improvements will be made. The highway types recognized in the manual are:

- Arterials, collectors and local streets
- Two-lane highways
- Multi-lane highways
- Limited access freeways.

The highway type influences the *improvement types* that typically are involved in an improvement of that type of highway. For example, signalization improvements are not typically associated with limited access highways, except for metering of ramp traffic.

A *project type* is determined by the broad type of service enhancement that the project will be providing:

- Operational enhancements
- Safety enhancements.

The project type influences the dimensions of the analysis. Operational enhancements, for example, primarily improve the speed and comfort experienced by the users of the facility. Therefore, analysis of this project type involves considerations of time savings and savings in operating costs. Safety enhancements, on the other hand, primarily affect the accident rate and, consequently, the morbidity, mortality, and property damage associated with use of the road. Generally, there are different improvement types associated with each of these project types.


Indeed, operational and safety enhancements also, in some cases, have countervailing effects on the analysis. For example, an operational enhancement that speeds up traffic flow may have the effect of increasing the accident rate under some circumstances. Conversely, some safety enhancements, such as signalization and signing, may reduce the effective capacity of a road, and degrade travel times and operating costs. In order to properly incorporate both effects, the analyses must interact accordingly. This is best done by identifying a project's service enhancement type.

The *improvement type* defines the project activity at a level that directs the reader to the appropriate analysis module. For operational improvements, the major improvement types are:

- Additional lanes. This is the conventional method of adding capacity, and can take various forms.
- A new road or highway, i.e., the addition of a link in the road network that did not previously exist.
- A new traffic control device or system. Signals, signs, ramp metering and roundabouts can be added to existing roads, or incorporated in new roads to enhance effective capacity.
- Signal control systems. Existing signalization systems can be enhanced to change timing and coordination of traffic flows.
- Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) improvements. These are improvements that allow the road or the user to respond to changing conditions on the road. ITS improvements include such things as variable or incident signage, incident management and on-board navigation aids.
- Pricing and regulatory policies. Congestion pricing, designation of lanes as high occupancy toll (HOT) or high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes are changes in policies that can affect the performance of a road or a corridor.

Within each of these improvement types, there can be several *analysis modules* to choose from. For example, a roundabout and a signalization system are two, very different types of traffic control devices for optimizing intersection capacity. There are separate analysis modules associated with each of these. As indicated in Figure 1-2, the modular analysis process yields the parameters for the evaluation of the project.

Figure 1-2: The Workflow Path from Project to Evaluation Parameters



Project Management Options

There are two, overarching levels of analysis in this manual—i.e., analyses that may apply to a project regardless of its highway, project or improvement type. The first of these is the analysis of *project management options*.

Although the engineering specifications of a highway project generally determine its performance potential, the extent to which that potential is realized in the most cost effective manner depends on how the project is managed. Project management affects the *economic feasibility* of a project because it influences project cost, the pace at which the improvements become available, and the impact that construction activities have on existing traffic, and so on. In this sense, project management assumptions affect the parameters of the economic feasibility analysis.

Several project management options can be analyzed using this manual:

- Lane rental. Lane rental is a contractor-incentive technique whereby contractors must pay to close lanes while they undertake roadway improvements. Analysis of this option allows planners to determine the tradeoff between speedier completion and higher project cost.
- Performance contracting. A more general technique than lane rental, performance contracting involves providing financial incentives (and, thereby, raising upfront construction costs) for speedier project completion (which, effectively, increases the value of the improvement to users).
- Public-private partnering. Public agencies that are cash-flow constrained can get beneficial projects built by partnering with private entities and thereby augmenting public funds with private funds. Whether or not such partnering makes sense depends upon the economic value of the improvements, and the cost of private funds. Partnering also requires that the private partners have a means of collecting revenues from users upon completion, and thus may require modifying the project characteristics to include a tolling policy, or the analysis of value-capture tax policies.
- A+B bidding. This is one of several incentive/disincentive contracting methods that provide contractors with incentives to complete projects on time and on budget. Under A+B bidding, the bid is evaluated based on both the expected capital costs (A) and the number of days (B) the construction period is expected to last.

The project management evaluation step, and its relationship to the analysis modules and the economic analysis are shown in Figure 1-3.

Economic Analysis

The second overarching level of analysis, the *economic analysis* step, is the final, synthesizing step; it aggregates and processes the data developed in the various, analysis modules. It makes the determination of the extent to which the proposed project is economically feasible. A project is economically feasible if the value of its user benefits exceeds project costs, properly measured and with recognition of the fact that benefits or

costs in the future have a lower present value than benefits or costs nearer in time to the analysis date.

Hence, the goal of the economic analysis is to determine if the present discounted value of user benefits exceeds the present discounted value of project capital and operating costs. Conceptually, this is a simple arithmetic exercise. In order to do this in an orderly manner, however, the following elements of the analysis must be in place:

- Information from the various improvement *analysis modules* must be in a correct and consistent form for aggregation;
- Appropriate assumptions must be made about the project's anticipated life, the appropriate discount and inflation rates, and the values to apply to time, human life, operating costs, and other key cost and benefit factors.
- The pattern of benefits and spending over time must be specified. Methods of interpolating or extrapolating available information must be provided if the analysis done in the improvement modules was not performed for every year of the project's anticipated life;

The economic analysis step provides calculations of benefit-cost measures that are useful both for deciding whether a project should proceed or not, and for ranking the project relative to other, available alternatives. In practice, the benefit-cost indicators generated by using this manual need not be the final word on a project's feasibility. The results of the economic analysis can be used to refine a project's design, timing, or management. In addition, as indicated earlier, the user benefit analysis may be incorporated in a more comprehensive evaluation system.

A GUIDE TO THE CHAPTERS OF THE MANUAL

The manual has the following chapters:


Chapter 1 provides an introduction to the manual. It discusses the purpose and scope of the manual, and introduces the *workflow* orientation of manual. It also provides a glossary of terms and this summary.

Chapter 2 presents the basic concepts and methods used in measuring user benefits. It discusses in detail the requirements of a properly defined project and its base case, and guides the reader through the first steps of the workflow process. The activity in Chapter 2 establishes pointers to the next workflow elements, i.e., the relevant analysis modules and the project management analysis.

Chapter 3 contains the analysis modules for *operational improvements*. The modules accommodate new roads, as well as traffic controls and signal systems. The reader is guided to this chapter of the manual by the project classification adopted in Chapter 2. This section also provides pointers to appropriate sections of Chapters 4, 5 and 6 of the manual.

Chapter 4 contains the analysis modules for *safety improvements*. The reader is guided to this chapter by the project classification adopted in Chapter 2 and/or the analysis modules in Chapter 3. This section provides pointers to Chapter 3, as appropriate, and Chapters 5 and 6 of the manual.

Figure 1-3: The Workflow Path from Evaluation Parameters to Economic Feasibility Measurement



Chapter 5 provides modules for analyzing the effects of various project management options. The results of this analysis influences the nature of the economic feasibility analysis performed in Chapter 6.

Chapter 6 contains the procedures for performing the final, economic feasibility analysis. The reader is asked to provide key behavioral and financial parameters at this point in the analysis. The reader is also instructed about the motivation and procedures for performing sensitivity analysis.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Use of this manual involves careful specification and calculation of economic and engineering relationships as well as some of the most commonly used functional classification for streets and highways. A consistent terminology helps keep these various relationships clear in the reader's mind.

Much of the terminology used in the last Redbook remains in common, professional use. In the twenty-five years since the Redbook was last updated, however, new procedures and relationships have been introduced. As a consequence, this glossary is an amalgam of terminology from earlier editions of the Redbook as well as from new research and practice.

Arterial. Signalized streets that serve primarily through traffic and provide access to abutting properties as a secondary function, having signal spacings of 3.0 km or less.

Average Annual Daily Traffic. The total yearly volume divided by the number of days in the year, commonly abbreviated as AADT. Unless otherwise specified, the terms AADT and ADT (average daily traffic estimated without reference to a year's traffic) will be used synonymously.

Bus. A self-propelled, rubber-tired road vehicle designed to carry a substantial number of passengers (at least 16, various legal definitions may differ slightly as to minimum capacity), commonly operated on streets and highways. Smaller capacity road transit vehicles, often without full headroom, are termed vans. This manual does not provide procedures for evaluating transit investments, *per se*. However, the influence of bus transit vehicles on traffic flow and the time- and operating-cost savings associated with bus transit must be accommodated in highway project evaluations.

Capacity. The *Highway Capacity Manual* defines capacity as the maximum number of vehicles that have a reasonable expectation of passing over a given section of a lane or a roadway in one direction (or in both directions for a two-lane or a three-lane highway) during a given time period under prevailing roadway and traffic conditions. In the absence of a time modifier, capacity is an hourly volume.

Capital Cost. The total investment required to prepare a highway improvement for service, including engineering design and supervision, right-of-way acquisition, construction, signals and signs, and landscaping.

Centerline Mile. A measure of highway length that counts each mile of a facility regardless of the number of separate lanes. Contrasts with Lane Mile.

Collector. Surface street providing land access and traffic circulation within residential, commercial, and industrial areas.

Congestion. A traffic condition involving interactions of vehicles that results in reduction in speed below the design speed.

Congestion Pricing. A policy of charging for a vehicle's impact on other vehicles' delay.

Design Speed. A speed selected for purposes of design and correlation of those features of a highway, such as curvature, superelevation, and sight distance, on which the safe operation of vehicle depends.

Depreciation, also **Economic Depreciation**. The gradual loss of productive capacity of a piece of capital equipment. Depreciation occurs both as the result of the passage of time, through obsolescence, and as the result of wear-and-tear from use. The term refers to real or economic depreciation, in contrast to tax- or accounting depreciation which is an arbitrary proration of capital expenses over time.

Depreciation Rate. The percentage loss in productive capacity per unit time. Typically expressed as a percent per year.

Discount Rate. A percentage figure representing the opportunity cost of capital for an investment, used for converting periodic costs and benefits for a project to present value or to equivalent annual cost.

Equivalent Annual Cost. A uniform annual cost that is equivalent to all disbursements or costs over the analysis period. The present value of equivalent annual cost equals the present value of all such disbursements.

Expressway. See Freeway.

Fixed Cost. A cost that does not vary with activity level or use, but cannot be avoided without abandonment of the facility. Administrative spending is often a fixed cost.

Free Flow. Traffic flow which is unaffected by upstream or downstream conditions. Free-flow speed is the speed expected under free flow conditions.

Freeway. Freeways and expressways are multilane divided highways having a minimum of two lanes for exclusive use of traffic in each direction and full control of access without traffic interruption.

High Occupancy Vehicle. A vehicle that is operated with high passenger occupancies. Variably defined to include carpool vehicles, vans and transit buses.

High Occupancy Vehicle Lane (HOV lane). A lane that is restricted to use by vehicles with high occupancies.

High Occupancy Toll Lane (HOT lane). A lane that is restricted to use by vehicles that have high occupancies or pay tolls.

Hypercongestion. A traffic condition wherein speed is lower at the given volume than is observed, at the same volume, under other conditions.

Incremental Cost. The net change in dollar costs directly attributable to a given decision or proposal compared with some other alternative, including the existing situation or “do-nothing” alternative. This definition includes any resulting cost reductions (negative costs, or benefits). The only costs that are relevant to a given proposal are incremental future costs, in contrast to sunk costs of the past.

Inflation Rate. The percentage change in the unit value of an element of costs or benefits. Generally expressed as an annual average rate.

K Factor. A factor that relates peak-hour travel to average annual daily traffic (AADT). K is the percentage of AADT represented by two-way traffic volume in the peak hour. Values for K tend to decrease as traffic volume approaches capacity and some peak-hour travel spreads into adjacent “shoulder” hours.

Lane Mile. A measure of highway length that counts each mile of each lane. Contrasts with Centerline Mile.

Long Run. A perspective on cost analysis that assumes flexibility in the capacity of a system like a highway system. In the Long Run, all costs are variable.

Levelized Cost. See Equivalent Annual Cost.

Level of Service (LOS). A qualitative measure of the freedom of a flow of traffic from constraints, interruptions, or other inconveniences, relative to the best possible conditions for a given type of highway facility.

Maintenance Cost. A subset of Operating Cost relating to keeping a highway and its appurtenances in serviceable condition.

Motor Vehicle Running Cost. The mileage-dependent cost of running automobiles, trucks, and other motor vehicles on the highway, including the cost of fuel, tires, engine oil, maintenance, and the value of vehicle wear-and-tear.

Multilane Highways. Roads with four or more lanes, without significant access control features and with low enough adjacent development to permit speed limits of greater than 40 mph and signalization (signals or stop signs) with average spacings of more than 1 mile.

Occupancy Rate. The number of occupants of a vehicle, expressed as persons per vehicle.

Operating Cost. The ongoing costs of maintaining and otherwise keeping a road in service. Operating costs include such things as road surface repair, traffic control and lighting expenses, snow removal, cleaning of debris from shoulders, maintenance and replacement of landscaping, restriping, on-going toll collection costs, etc.

Operating Speed. The highest overall safe speed at which a driver can travel on a given highway under favorable weather conditions and under prevailing traffic conditions. (Most HCM tables and charts give operating speed, but average running speed is more desirable for estimating road user travel time and costs.)

Out-of-Pocket Cost. The incremental cash costs associated with travel.

Ownership Cost. The cost associated with owning, in contrast to operating, a vehicle. Leasing costs, depreciation, insurance premia, and registration fees are examples of ownership costs.

Passenger Car. A motor vehicle with seating capacity up to nine persons, including for capacity and economy study purposes taxicabs, station wagons, and the two-axle, four-tired pickups, panels, and light trucks.

Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE). The ratio of a vehicle's influence on a traffic stream to that of a typical passenger automobile. Expressing traffic volumes in terms of PCEs permits analysis of mixed traffic streams.

Peak Period. The hours of the day during which traffic volumes are heaviest on a highway. The length of the Peak Period is variably defined, but by definition, the Peak Period incorporates the Peak Hour. *Contrasts* with Shoulder Period and Off-Peak Period.

Perceived Cost. The subset of costs that are perceived by the user, and to which the user reacts. User costs can exceed perceived user costs.

Preservation. A road improvement activity involving significant renovation of the existing roadway without adding to the road's effective capacity.

Project. Any relatively independent component of a proposed highway improvement. By this definition, independent links of a large improvement proposal can be evaluated separately. For a given transportation improvement, all individual contracts or work orders such as grading and draining, pavement, signs, and landscaping can be considered as a single project. Where alternative construction improvements are being considered, separate projects can be defined. However, highly interdependent sections of a highway improvement (such as a bridge and its approach roads) ordinarily should be considered as one project for economy study purposes.

Project Management. Activities relating to the manner in which a project of given specifications is implemented. Staging, financing, ownership and operation authority are examples of aspects of Project Management.

Present Value (PV). Also termed present worth, it is the present amount that is equivalent to specified amounts of money or time in different time periods, at a given discount rate. Two related considerations underlie the need for computing PV: (1) the fact that money has a time value of capital cost, due to its productiveness and scarcity (see the definition of discount rate), and (2) the need in an economy study for comparing or summing outlays or savings of money or time in different time periods.

Project Alternatives are any variations to the basic project plan that (1) involve significantly different costs, (2) result in significantly different levels of service or demand, or (3) incorporate different route locations or other distinctive design features.

Public-Private Partnerships. Legal relationships among public and private entities established for the purpose of jointly providing design, build or operating services (or some combination).

Ramp. A short segment of roadway serving as a connection between two traffic facilities; usually services flow in one direction only.

Rehabilitation. Rebuilding or restoring an existing facility that is under disrepair or not up to standards.

Residual or Salvage Value. The value of an investment or capital outlay remaining at the end of the study period.

Roundabout. An intersection design that accommodates two or more intersecting roads and permits vehicles to pass through the intersection without signalization or stopping.

Running Speed. The speed over a specified section of highway, being the distance divided by the running time (the time the vehicle is in motion). Average running speed is the same as average speed if there are no stops; otherwise it is higher. For the purposes of this manual, the terms average midblock speed or intersection approach speed are used to denote average running speed in analyzing signalized arterials.

Short Run. A perspective on cost analysis that takes as given the capacity of a system like the highway system. Only the utilization of the fixed facility is variable in the Short Run. Contrasts with Long-Run.

Shoulder Period. The hours of the day which are not the Peak Period, but are adjacent to it in time. Variably defined. Contrasts with Peak Period and Off-Peak Period.

Sketch Planning. Sketch planning is a method for developing general estimates of user costs and benefits when more detailed data are not available. For example, sketch planning might rely on annual traffic volumes and simple demand relationships to estimate user benefits when peak hour data and detailed traffic model output are not available. The spreadsheet tool SPASM has been developed for sketch planning analysis.

Social Surplus. Social surplus is analogous to consumer surplus and reflects the total benefit to society resulting from a highway improvement. It is the sum of the individual consumer surplus measures for all persons that will be affected by the project.

Speed. Average Speed (or average overall traffic speed). The summation of distances traveled by all vehicles or a specified class of vehicles over a given section of highway during a specified period of time, divided by the summation of overall travel times.

Study Period (or Analysis Period). The time period over which the stream of benefits and costs is to be evaluated. The final year of construction is designated year 0 (zero), and subsequent years are designated year 1, year 2, etc. Projects involving staged construction that extends over more than four or five years should either be divided into separate projects for the separable stages, or use the final year of construction for the first major stage as year 0, with prior capital outlays being discounted to their present value in year 0.

Taxicab. A passenger automobile that is operated by a professional driver. To account an improvement's benefit to taxicab traffic, the value of operator and passenger time need to be considered.

Transit Vehicle Operating Cost. The cost incurred for operating a bus on a highway facility. Such operating costs include (a) the cost of the drivers wages and fringe benefits, (b) the cost of vehicle operation, including tires, fuel and lubricants, (c) the cost of bus maintenance, including labor and parts, (d) costs of insurance and managerial and administrative labor, and (e) the costs of vehicle rental or depreciation.

Travel Time. The time spent by users traversing a roadway or road network. Conventionally, travel time is expressed in minutes.

Truck. A heavy vehicle engaged primarily in the transport of goods and materials or in the delivery of services other than public transportation.

Two-Lane Highways. Roads with two lanes, speed limits generally greater than 40 mph in both directions, and average signal spacing more than one mile. They may have varying degrees of access control.

User Benefits. The advantages, privileges, or cost reductions that accrue to highway drivers or owners and/or to highway transit users through the use of one highway facility as compared with the use of another. Benefits are generally measured in terms of a decrease in user costs.

User Cost. The costs of travel that are borne by individual users. Highway user costs are the sum of motor vehicle running cost, the value of travel time, and traffic accident cost. Bus transit user costs on a particular highway segment are the fares, the value of travel time, and traffic accident costs.

User Fee or User Charge. A fee charged users for their use of a highway. A Toll is a way of implementing a User Charge.

Value of Time. The opportunity value attributed to one hour of a user's time. This value is different for different types of users and/or trip purposes. It is conventionally expressed in dollars per hour.

Value Pricing. The practice of charging for access to a lane or facility in return for shorter or more reliable travel times. Congestion Pricing is a form of value pricing.

Variable Cost. A cost is variable if it changes with the activity level, such as the number of vehicles, speed, occupancy, etc. Contrasts with the term Fixed Cost.

Variable Pricing. A policy of charging different prices for use of a road at different times or under different conditions. Congestion Pricing is a form of Variable Pricing.

Volume, or Traffic Volume. The number of vehicles that use a highway lane or facility. Typically expressed in vehicles or PCEs per hour.

Volume-Capacity Ratio. The ratio of traffic volume to road capacity. Both volume and capacity are expressed in PCE terms.

Volume-Delay Function. A mathematical equation that expresses the relationship among traffic volumes, capacity, and the time required to travel one mile. Different volume-delay functions are attributed to different types of roads.